

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,463	09/01/2006	Yoshio Miyasaka	7545	2087
Shlesinger Ark	7590 05/12/2008 cwright & Garvey	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1420 King Street			MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J	
Suite 600 Alexandria, V.	A 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
*			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/591,463	MIYASAKA, YOSHIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marguerite J. McMahon	3747		

	Marguerite J. McMarion	3/4/	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1:30 or 10 cm 1	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a access Applicant may not request that any objection to the crepiacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	pted or b) □ objected to by the l rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National \$	Stage
Attachmont/e)			

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/07;9/1/06.

- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/591,463

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: On page 15, the explanation of the feature discussed below in the 112 rejection of the claims, i.e. the explanation of the "oxygen deficit tilting structure" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Note that the examiner does not understand the meaning of the last few lines of claim 1 which cite: "a layer of metal oxide having a structure in which a bond with oxygen is gradually decreased as goes from the surface to the inside and photocatalytic property responding to an electromagnetic wave with wavelength longer than that of the ultraviolet ray is formed on the surface of said catalytic material." The specification discusses this on page 15, but the examiner found the meaning to be unclear.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,463

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Objections

Claims 5-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-9 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (5,092,303) in view of Yoshio (2002/005505). Brown shows everything except the catalyst comprising a structure having a coating with an oxygen deficit tilting structure. Yoshio teaches that it is old in the art to employ such a catalyst with the oxygen deficit tilting structure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Brown by employing the catalyst coating with oxygen deficit tilting structure, in order to provide a more efficient catalytic action. Note that the space rate percentage is considered to be an obvious matter of design choice, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In addition, the size of the particle is considered to be an obvious matter of design choice,

Application/Control Number: 10/591,463 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

is considered to an obvious matter of design choice, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 571-272-4848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday and Friday, 10am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,463 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marguerite McMahon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

/Marguerite McMahon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3747